

Examiner-Initiated Interview Summary	Application No. 09/821,776	Applicant(s) SAINT-HILAIRE ET AL.	
	Examiner Robert C. Scheibel	Art Unit 2616	

All Participants:

(1) Robert C. Scheibel.

(2) Scott C. Harris (Reg. No. 32,030).

Status of Application: _____

(3) _____.

(4) _____.

Date of Interview: 29 June 2006
Time: 1:00 PM EDT
Type of Interview:

- ☒ Telephonic
☐ Video Conference
☐ Personal (Copy given to: ☐ Applicant ☐ Applicant's representative)

Exhibit Shown or Demonstrated: ☐ Yes ☐ No

If Yes, provide a brief description:

Part I.

Rejection(s) discussed:


Claims discussed:

1-3, 5-11, 13-16, and 44

Prior art documents discussed:

Part II.
SUBSTANCE OF INTERVIEW DESCRIBING THE GENERAL NATURE OF WHAT WAS DISCUSSED:
See Continuation Sheet
Part III.

- ☒ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview directly resulted in the allowance of the application. The examiner will provide a written summary of the substance of the interview in the Notice of Allowability.
☐ It is not necessary for applicant to provide a separate record of the substance of the interview, since the interview did not result in resolution of all issues. A brief summary by the examiner appears in Part II above.

 7-3-06
 (Examiner/SPE Signature)

(Applicant/Applicant's Representative Signature – if appropriate)

Continuation of Substance of Interview including description of the general nature of what was discussed: Examiner explained that amended claims 1, 9, and 44 could still be rejected by the prior art of record. Examiner recommended cancelling these claims or amending them to be similar to claim 37. Applicant agreed to cancel claims 1 and 44 and their associated dependent claims and to amend claim 9 as indicated in the Examiner's Amendment herein..